

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

-----oo0oo-----

MAHMOUD SAQQA,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY; KRIS BALAJI,

Defendants.

No. 2:20-cv-00331 WBS AC

ORDER

-----oo0oo-----

After the court granted defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment and entered final judgment (Docket Nos. 20, 21), defendants submitted a cost bill totaling \$12,791.15. (Docket No. 22.) Local Rule 292(c) provided plaintiff with seven days from the date of service to object, and plaintiff filed no objections to the bill of costs.


Rule 54(d)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 292 govern the taxation of costs, which are generally subject to limits set under 28 U.S.C. § 1920. See 28 U.S.C. § 1920 (enumerating taxable costs); Fed. R. Civ. P.

1 54(d)(1) ("Unless a federal statute, these rules, or a court
2 order provides otherwise, costs--other than attorney's fees--
3 should be allowed to the prevailing party."); E.D. Cal. Local R.
4 292(f); Crawford Fitting Co. v. J.T. Gibbons, Inc., 482 U.S. 437,
5 441 (1987) (limiting taxable costs to those enumerated in §
6 1920).

7 Defendants have requested \$12,791.15 in costs based on
8 services that were actually and necessarily performed,
9 specifically obtaining certified transcripts, service of
10 deposition subpoenas, and witness deposition fees. After
11 reviewing the bill, and in light of the fact that plaintiff has
12 not objected, the court finds the requested costs to be
13 reasonable. Accordingly, costs of \$12,791.15 will be allowed for
14 defendants and are taxed against plaintiff.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: October 7, 2021


17 **WILLIAM B. SHUBB**
18 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**